

Subject	Approval of the City of Richmond’s Calendar Years (CY) 2004 & 2005 Growth Management Program (GMP) Compliance Checklist
Summary of Issues	The City of Richmond has submitted its Calendar Years 2004 & 2005 Biennial Growth Management Program Compliance Checklist for allocation of local street maintenance and improvement funds.
Recommendations	Staff recommends approval of the City of Richmond’s GMP Compliance Checklist and payment of \$1,218,252 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2005-06 Local Street Maintenance and Improvement funds to the City of Richmond, with a second (off year) payment of FY 06-07 funds on the one-year anniversary of the first payment.
Financial Implications	A total of \$13,500,000 is estimated to be available for Local Street Maintenance and Improvement funds in FY 2005-06, based on actual sales tax receipts.
Options	The Authority has the option to disapprove a checklist, request additional information, such as an audit of a specific checklist question, grant conditional approval, or otherwise apply flexibility to individual circumstances.
Attachments (See PC Packet, dated 6/6/07, for attachments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Summary of the City of Richmond’s Calendar Years 2004 & 2005 GMP Compliance Checklist. B. Acknowledgment letter from Martin Engelmann to Mimi Liem, May 17, 2007. C. City of Richmond’s CY 2004 & 2005 GMP Compliance Checklist and Abridged Attachments (including supplemental submittals of 5/23/07).
Changes from Committee	<i>Approved.</i>

Background

The Measure C Growth Management Program, as amended, requires that every two years the Authority will review and allocate funds to cities and the county, subject to submission of a Statement of Compliance by the local jurisdiction and findings made by the Authority.

The *Biennial Compliance Checklist* provides a vehicle for measuring local jurisdictions' fulfillment of the requirements of the Growth Management Program during the reporting period of Calendar Years 2004 & 2005. Jurisdictions in compliance will receive funds for local street maintenance and improvements annually, receiving the second-year's funding on the one-year anniversary of the previous year's allocation.

A summary of the completed checklist submitted by the subject jurisdiction is attached. Staff recommends approval of the jurisdiction's Checklist and allocation of funds, subject to receipt of responses to the comments shown in Attachment B.

Citizens Advisory Committee Review

The full Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) reviewed the City of Richmond's Checklist on May 23, 2007, and recommended approval.

Third Revision Housing Element Update

The City of Richmond submitted its adopted third revision housing element to State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) in February 2006. In a letter dated February 27, 2006, HCD stated that the jurisdiction's adopted Housing Element complied with State law. Furthermore, according to HCD's website, the jurisdiction currently remains in compliance with State law.

CITY OF RICHMOND
BIENNIAL COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST SUMMARY Calendar Years 2004 & 2005
OVERALL FINDING: COMPLIES

According to the jurisdiction's Checklist, the following findings have been made:

1. **Action Plans: Complies**
 The jurisdiction has implemented the actions and followed procedures as called for in its Action Plan. The jurisdiction has followed procedures for RTPC review of General Plan Amendments.
2. **Regional Transportation Mitigation Program: Complies**
 The jurisdiction has implemented a local and regional mitigation program.
3. **Housing Options and Job Opportunities: Complies**
 The jurisdiction's third-cycle Housing Element has been judged by HCD to be in compliance with State law.
4. **Growth Management Element (GME) Performance Standards: Complies**
 The jurisdiction has adopted a Growth Management Element as part of its General Plan. The jurisdiction also complies, or will comply through implementation of its CIP, with its adopted performance standards.
5. **Growth Management Element (GME) Traffic Level of Service (LOS) Standards: Complies**
 As required, traffic impact studies were conducted on development projects generating more than 100 peak hour trips. Reporting intersections met LOS standards. No intersections were subject to Findings of Special Circumstances.
6. **Cooperative, Multi-Jurisdictional Planning: Complies**
 The jurisdiction regularly participated in RTPC meetings; the jurisdiction's representative to the RTPC regularly reported to the council on RTPC activities; and the jurisdiction made land use and traffic data available for the countywide model.
7. **Five-Year Capital Improvement Program: Complies**
 The jurisdiction has an adopted CIP that meets Measure C requirements. (JDecember 2006)
8. **Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Program: Complies**
 The jurisdiction has an adopted TSM Resolution or Ordinance that conforms with the Authority's Model TSM Resolution or Ordinance. (June 1998)
9. **Maintenance of Effort (MoE): Complies.**
 The jurisdiction met the MoE requirements of Measure C. The MoE requirement for the jurisdiction is \$1,420,937 per year. Expenditures were \$2,008,112 for FY 2003-04, and \$1,390,790 for FY 2004-05.
10. **Posting of Signs: Complies.**
11. **Other Considerations: N/A**

Certified By: Gayle McLaughlin, Mayor

Date: May 21, 2007

Date Received by CCTA: May 17, 2007