

# Table of Contents

## Executive Summary

### INTRODUCTION TO THE EIR

Purpose of EIR .....	ES-1
Proposed Project.....	ES-2
Public Involvement .....	ES-3
Project Setting .....	ES-3
Alternatives to the Project .....	ES-3
Approach to the Study .....	ES-7
Key EIR Assumptions.....	ES-7
Project Impacts.....	ES-8
Environmentally Superior Alternative .....	ES-9

## PART ONE: INTRODUCTION, PROJECT DESCRIPTION, AND RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DOCUMENTS, PROGRAMS, AND POLICIES

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION AND STUDY APPROACH

Background .....	1.1-2
EIR Scope .....	1.1-4
Report Organization .....	1.1-12

### 1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Purpose and Objectives.....	1.2-1
Project Background .....	1.2-3
Proposed Project.....	1.2-10

### 1.3 RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DOCUMENTS, PROGRAMS AND POLICIES

Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act – A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU).....	1.3-1
--	-------

Regional Transportation Improvement Program/State Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP/STIP) .....	1.3-2
Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).....	1.3-2
Congestion Management Program (CMP) .....	1.3-3
Strategic Plan .....	1.3-3
Federal Clean Air Act and California Clean Air Act.....	1.3-3

**PART TWO: SETTINGS, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

**2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACT ANALYSIS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Introduction and Assumptions .....	2.0-1
Impact Significance .....	2.0-2
Mitigation.....	2.0-3

**2.1 TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION**

Existing Setting.....	2.1-1
Criteria of Significance .....	2.1-8
Method of Analysis.....	2.1-9
Summary of Impacts .....	2.1-12
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.1-12

**2.2 AIR QUALITY**

Existing Setting.....	2.2-1
Criteria of Significance .....	2.2-19
Method of Analysis.....	2.2-20
Summary of Impacts .....	2.2-22
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.2-25

**2.3 ENERGY**

Existing Setting.....	2.3-1
Criteria of Significance .....	2.3-12
Method of Analysis.....	2.3-13
Summary of Impacts .....	2.3-15
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.3-15

**2.4 GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY**

Existing Setting.....	2.4-1
Criteria of Significance .....	2.4-17
Method of Analysis.....	2.4-18
Summary of Impacts .....	2.4-18
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.4-19

**2.5 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

Existing Setting.....	2.5-1
Criteria of Significance.....	2.5-15
Method of Analysis.....	2.5-16
Summary of Impacts .....	2.5-16
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.5-17

**2.6 HYDROLOGY AND WATER RESOURCES**

Existing Setting.....	2.6-1
Criteria of Significance.....	2.6-14
Method of Analysis.....	2.6-15
Summary of Impacts .....	2.6-15
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.6-16

**2.7 VISUAL RESOURCES**

Existing Setting.....	2.7-1
Criteria of Significance.....	2.7-7
Method of Analysis.....	2.7-7
Summary of Impacts .....	2.7-8
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.7-9

**2.8 NOISE**

Existing Setting.....	2.8-1
Criteria of Significance.....	2.8-12
Method of Analysis.....	2.8-13
Summary of Impacts .....	2.8-14
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.8-14

**2.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES**

Existing Setting.....	2.9-1
Criteria of Significance.....	2.9-7
Method of Analysis.....	2.9-8
Summary of Impacts .....	2.9-8
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.9-15

**2.10 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

Existing Setting.....	2.10-1
Criteria of Significance.....	2.10-5
Method of Analysis.....	2.10-6
Summary of Impacts .....	2.10-6
Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2.10-7

**2.11 LAND USE AND HOUSING**

Existing Setting.....2.11-1  
Criteria of Significance..... 2.11-25  
Method of Analysis..... 2.11-25  
Summary of Impacts ..... 2.11-26  
Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 2.11-30

**2.12 GREENHOUSE GASES AND CLIMATE CHANGE**

Existing Setting..... 2.12-1  
Criteria of Significance.....2.12-11  
Method of Analysis..... 2.12-12  
Summary of Impacts ..... 2.12-14  
Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 2.12-14

**PART THREE: ALTERNATIVES AND CEQA-REQUIRED CONCLUSIONS**

**3.1 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT**

Introduction..... 3.1-1  
Alternatives Screening ..... 3.1-2  
Alternatives Analyzed in this EIR..... 3.1-4  
Comparative Impact Analysis.....3.1-11  
Environmentally Superior Alternative ..... 3.1-29

**3.2 CEQA-REQUIRED CONCLUSIONS**

Significant Unavoidable Effects..... 3.2-1  
Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes.....3.2-2  
Growth Inducing Impacts..... 3.2.2  
Cumulative Impacts ..... 3.2-11  
Non-significant Impacts..... 3.2-13

**PART FOUR: APPENDICES**

**4.1 GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

Abbreviations.....4.1-1  
Definitions ..... 4.1-7

**4.2 REPORT PREPARATION**

Report Preparers..... 4.2-1

**4.3 BIBLIOGRAPHY .....4.3-1**

**APPENDIX A: CTP 2004 UPDATE PROJECT LIST**

**APPENDIX B: SENSITIVE SPECIES OCCURRING OR POSSIBLY OCCURRING IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY**

**APPENDIX C: NOTICE OF PREPARATION AND COMMENTS RECEIVED**

# List of Figures

## 1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Figure 1.2-1: Regional Setting .....1.2-4  
 Figure 1.2-2: Regional Routes and Regional Transportation Planning Committee..... 1.2-8  
 Figure 1.2-3: Project .....1.2-11

## 2.2 AIR QUALITY

Figure 2.2-1: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Boundaries.....2.2-3

## 2.4 GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY

Figure 2.4-1: Fault Hazards ..... 2.4-5  
 Figure 2.4-2: Liquefaction Susceptibility ..... 2.4-13

## 2.5 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Figure 2.5-1: General Sensitive Species Areas Located Near 2009 CTP Projects .....2.5-7

## 2.6 HYDROLOGY AND WATER RESOURCES

Figure 2.6-1: Floodplains ..... 2.6-5

## 2.7 VISUAL RESOURCES

Figure 2.7-1: Contra Costa Visual Resources.....2.7-3

## 2.8 NOISE

Figure 2.8-1: Noise Effects on People..... 2.8-7

## 2.10 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Figure 2.10-1: Potential to Encounter Hazardous Materials..... 2.10-9

## 2.11 LAND USE AND HOUSING

Figure 2.11-1: Contra Costa Historic Growth ..... 2.11-3  
 Figure 2.11-2: Urbanized Land and Agricultural Land in Contra Costa .....2.11-9  
 Figure 2.11-3: Housing Density by TAZ, 2000 .....2.11-15  
 Figure 2.11-4: Housing Density by TAZ, 2030..... 2.11-17  
 Figure 2.11-5: Urban Limit Line ..... 2.11-27

# List of Tables

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table S.1: Summary of Impacts and Mitigation.....	ES-12
---	-------

## 1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Table 1.2-1: Projects and Programs Included in the 2009 CTP and Estimated Costs.....	1.2-13
--	--------

## 2.1 TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

Table 2.1-1: Contra Costa County Resident Workers by Means of Transportation to Work, 2000-2006 .....	2.1-2
Table 2.1-2: Job Location for Contra Costa Employed Residents, 2000-2006.....	2.1-2
Table 2.1-3: Origin of Workers Commuting to Contra Costa County, 2000-2006.....	2.1-3
Table 2.1-4: Proposed Expansions to the HOV Land System in Contra Costa .....	2.1-4
Table 2.1-5: Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at Level of Service F for Roadway Segments.....	2.1-13
Table 2.1-6: Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel for Roadway Segments.....	2.1-14
Table 2.1-7: Average Speed by Facility Type for Roadway Segments .....	2.1-15
Table 2.1-8: Mode Shares (Daily) .....	2.1-16
Table 2.1-9: Total Daily Vehicle Trips .....	2.1-17
Table 2.1-10: Transit Activity in Contra Costa (daily boardings and alightings) .....	2.1-18

## 2.2 AIR QUALITY

Table 2.2-1: Ambient Air Quality Standards and Attainment within the Bay Area .....	2.2-6
Table 2.2-2: Transportation Control Measures in the State Implementation Plan.....	2.2-10
Table 2.2-3: Proposed Transportation Control Measures in 2001 Ozone Attainment Plan.....	2.2-11
Table 2.2-4: Transportation Control Measures in the 2005 Ozone Strategy.....	2.2-12
Table 2.2-5: Contra Costa County Ozone Data in ppm (2003-2007) .....	2.2-14
Table 2.2-6: Ozone Data Summaries for the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin, 1996-2007 .....	2.2-16
Table 2.2-7: Contra Costa County Carbon Monoxide Data in ppm (2003-2007) .....	2.2-17
Table 2.2-8: Contra Costa County Particulate Matter Data (2003-2007).....	2.2-18
Table 2.2-9: Estimated Transit and Non-Transit Emissions for Existing (2007), Future No Project (2030) Proposed Project (2030) – tons per day.....	2.2-23
Table 2.2-10: Estimated Transit and Non-Transit DPM Emissions for Existing (2007), Future No Project (2030) Proposed Project (2030) – pounds per day.....	2.2-25

## 2.3 ENERGY

Table 2.3-1: Contra Costa County Basic Energy Use Statistics, 2005.....	2.3-2
Table 2.3-2: Energy Factors for Transit Service .....	2.3-5
Table 2.3-3: Indirect Energy Consumption Factors.....	2.3-14

Table 2.3-4: Direct On Road Transportation Energy Use in Contra Costa County ..... 2.3-16  
 Table 2.3-5: Estimated Daily Countywide Direct and Indirect Energy Consumption ..... 2.3-17

**2.4 GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY**

Table 2.4-1: Active Faults In The Vicinity Of Contra Costa County ..... 2.4-8  
 Table 2.4-2: Modified–Mercalli Intensity Scale ..... 2.4-9  
 Table 2.4-3: 2009 CTP Projects with Potential to Experience Fault Rupture ..... 2.4-19  
 Table 2.4-4: Damage Susceptibility based on Seismic Ground Response of  
 2009 CTP Projects ..... 2.4-21  
 Table 2.4-5: Liquefaction Potential of 2009 CTP Projects ..... 2.4-22

**2.5 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

Table 2.5-1: Special Status Plant and Animal Species Potentially Occurring in Close Proximity  
 to 2009 CTP Projects ..... 2.5-17

**2.6 HYDROLOGY AND WATER RESOURCES**

Table 2.6-1: Major 2009 CTP Projects in Flood-Prone Areas ..... 2.6-16

**2.7 VISUAL RESOURCES**

Table 2.7-1: 2009 CTP Projects with Potentially Significant Visual Impacts in  
 Scenic Corridors ..... 2.7-12

**2.8 NOISE**

Table 2.8-1: Reference Noise Levels for Various Rail Operations ..... 2.8-3  
 Table 2.8-2: Typical Construction Phase Noise Levels ..... 2.8-5  
 Table 2.8-3: Typical Noise Levels from Construction Equipment ..... 2.8-6  
 Table 2.8-4: Noise Impact Transit Criteria and Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure ..... 2.8-13  
 Table 2.8-5: Major 2009 CTP Projects with Potentially Significant Traffic and Transit Noise  
 Impacts ..... 2.8-18  
 Table 2.8-6: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria ..... 2.8-21  
 Table 2.8-7: Roadway Segments Experiencing the Highest A.M Peak Hour  
 Traffic Changes Over Existing Conditions ..... 2.8-22  
 Table 2.8-8: Roadway Segments Experiencing the Highest P.M. Peak Hour  
 Traffic Changes Over Existing Conditions ..... 2.8-24

**2.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES**

Table 2.9-1: Analysis of Potential Impacts on Cultural Resources from Major 2009 CTP  
 Projects ..... 2.9-10

**2.11 LAND USE AND HOUSING**

Table 2.11-1: Household Forecasts ..... 2.11-13  
 Table 2.11-2: Type of Farmland Potentially Affected by Proposed 2009 CTP in  
 Contra Costa County ..... 2.11-30

Table 2.11-3: Potential Land Use Impacts of Major Projects in the 2009 CTP ..... 2.11-32  
 Table 2.11-4: Generalized Urban land Uses Potentially Disrupted by  
 Proposed 2009 CT..... 2.11-37

**2.12 GREENHOUSE GASES AND CLIMATE CHANGE**

Table 2.12-1: 2007 Bay Area CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions by Pollutant ..... 2.12-5  
 Table 2.12-2: 2005 Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Contra Costa County ..... 2.12-6  
 Table 2.12-3: Existing and Future CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions Comparison ..... 2.12-16

**3.1 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT**

Table 3.1-1: Summary of Projects Included in Alternatives..... 3.1-6  
 Table 3.1-2: Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at Level of Service F  
 for Roadway Segments ..... 3.1-13  
 Table 3.1-3: Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel for Roadway Segments ..... 3.1-14  
 Table 3.1-4: Average Speed by Facility Type for Roadway Segments ..... 3.1-15  
 Table 3.1-5: Total Daily Vehicle Trips ..... 3.1-16  
 Table 3.1-6: Transit Activity in Contra Costa (both boardings and alightings)..... 3.1-17  
 Table 3.1-7: Mode Shares (Daily) ..... 3.1-18  
 Table 3.1-8: Estimated Transit and Non-Transit Emissions for Proposed Project  
 and Alternatives (2030) - tons per day ..... 3.1-20  
 Table 3.1-9: Estimated Transit and Non-Transit Diesel Particulate Matter Emissions for  
 Proposed Project and Alternatives (2030) – tons per day ..... 3.1-22  
 Table 3.1-10: Energy Use by Alternative ..... 3.1-23  
 Table 3.1-11: Transportation Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Assuming Pavley 1 and 2..... 3.1-28

**3.2 CEQA-REQUIRED CONCLUSIONS**

Table 3.2-1: Population Forecasts ..... 3.2-3  
 Table 3.2-2: Forecasts of Employed Residents and Jobs, Bay Area..... 3.2-7  
 Table 3.2-3: Average Speeds ..... 3.2-9

# List of Charts

## 2.11 POPULATION AND LAND USE

Chart 2.11-1: Household Forecasts, Contra Costa County .....	2.11-14
Chart 2.11-2: New Households in Contra Costa County between 2007 and 2030.....	2-11-14

## 2.12 GREENHOUSE GASES AND CLIMATE CHANGE

Chart 2.12-1: Bay Area Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Sector, as a Percent of Total Emissions.....	2.12-5
Chart 2.12-2: Bay Area Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trends by Sector .....	2.12-6

## 3.2 CEQA-REQUIRED CONCLUSIONS

Chart 3.2-1: Population Forecasts, Contra Costa County .....	3.2-4
Chart 3.2-2: New Residents in Contra Costa County Between 2007 and 2030 .....	3.2-5